Good morning.

I’d like to thank Nomura for the opportunity to speak this morning and all of you for attending.

Before I talk about our strategy I’d like to set the context by giving you the headlines from our results which we announced in August

We delivered £3 billion of profits during the first half

and at the same time we strengthened our capital ratios,

reduced our balance sheet and leverage,

and improved our liquidity.

We were able to achieve this as a result of very strong income growth

which enabled us to absorb further credit market losses

and rising impairment in a weak economic environment.

The strength of this performance is thanks to the diversification of our business model - the universal banking model.

Turning to the headlines for the group, profit before tax of £3 billion was up 8% year on year

Very strong income growth of 37% delivered revenues of £16.3 billion.

This was achieved in the face of considerable pressure on deposit margins,
especially in our UK retail and commercial banking businesses.

Impairment charges for the group increased to £4.6 billion

against a background of weakening GDP and higher unemployment in many economies.

This is a significant increase, but it was within the range of our expectations for the year.

Our portfolios remain conservatively positioned
with low loan to values in our mortgage books,
and limited exposure to property and sponsored leverage,
as well as limited exposure to single names in our commercial bank.
The five largest impairment charges in the UK Commercial book, for example, totalled £81 million.

Costs were well controlled and grew at a lower rate than income.

As a result the cost income ratio improved from 57 to 54%.

Moving on now to look at our credit market exposures in Barclays Capital...

These have reduced by 30% since the year end through

sales and pay downs of £6.3 billion at or close to our marks,
write-downs of £4.7 billion,
as well as foreign exchange and other movements of £1.6 billion.

We increased write-downs on our commercial real estate books by £1.5 billion resulting in average marks on these loans of

69% in the UK,
77% in the US,
and 84% in Germany.

We’ve also increased provisions against monoline exposure by £1.4 billion to a total of £3.1 billion.

As a result our coverage ratios have increased from 17 to 27%.

That gives you the headlines of our first half results

I’d like to turn now to our key objectives for 2009 which are

staying close to customers and clients;
managing our risks;
and maintaining strategic momentum

The strength and breadth of our relationships with customers and clients can be seen clearly in our income performance.

Protecting our ability to serve customers and clients around the world

and preserving and strengthening our broadly based income platform
lay at the heart of our decision about recapitalisation in October 2008.

And it’s income diversification that has offset the write-down impacts of the banking crisis, and impairment charges of the economic downturn.

I’d like to give you an example of that income diversification by talking about the first half income story at Barclays Capital which is shown on the next slide.

Top line income, excluding the impact of credit market losses and own credit, more than doubled year on year to over £10 billion which significantly exceeds Barcap’s income for the whole year in 2008.

We’ve benefited from increased client flows, wider spreads and industry consolidation and you can clearly see the transformational impact of the Lehman acquisition in the performance across Fixed Income, Equities, Prime Services and Investment Banking.

Barclays Capital had over 100 clients in the first half generating more than 10 million pounds in revenues on an annualised basis.

And we’re seeing the trends which drove this income performance continuing in the second half of the year, not withstanding the usual seasonal impacts of August and December.

If I look at income growth in Global Retail and Commercial Banking, it’s been dominated by its international businesses since the crisis began in 2007.

Income grew 33% in these businesses during the first half.

GRCB international now accounts for over 40% of GRCB income and serves some 30 million customers.

It’s the strength of that income performance over the last three years that’s enabled us to invest heavily in the build-out of distribution channels.

We now have some 3,000 sales outlets and branches outside the UK.

There is a cost of course:

cost from the investment in branches and people;

cost from the impairment growth attributable to maturing asset books.
We know that we have to strengthen the profit performance, and returns, in Western Europe and Emerging Markets.

But we should recognise that the path we’ve taken here has put the development costs into the P&L account rather than the balance sheet via acquisition.

With the downturn in the global economy, we’ve moderated our rate of investment in people, distribution channels, and assets in these businesses.

But we’re clear that the growth trends which led us to make these investments will continue, and the further development of GRCB’s international business is an important part of our diversification strategy.

Turning now to risk....

We see risk and capital as two sides of the same coin.

One consequence of the banking crisis will be a requirement from the regulators to have more capital, and less leverage.

And Barclays began the process of increasing capital, and reducing leverage, well over a year ago.

Our Core Tier One ratio has increased by over 4 percentage points, on a pro forma basis, since the end of 2007.

Adjusted for the sale of BGI our Core Tier 1 and Tier 1 ratios were 8.8% and 11.7% respectively at the end of June.

One reason we’ve been able to increase our capital ratios is because of profit generation.

We’ve continued to deliver profits in every reporting period since the crisis began and our total profits in the last two years amount to £12 billion.

We’ve also managed our risks by reducing the size of our balance sheet.

Total assets have reduced by £508 billion, or 25%, since the end of 2008.

This slide sets out a breakdown of that reduction

The reduction in loans and advances was largely in Barclays Capital especially in relation to financial institutions.

We believe, however, that adjusted gross leverage gives a more useful view of the balance sheet.
If we look at balance sheet leverage, excluding the derivatives gross up, assets under management, settlement balances and goodwill, adjusted gross leverage improved from 28 times Tier 1 capital at the end of 2008 to 22 times at the end of the first half. It would have been 20 times on a pro forma basis, taking into account the sale of BGI. This is down from 33x at the end of 2007. Increasing our capital ratios and reducing the balance sheet will help us manage future regulatory impacts.

We’ve also been steadily building liquidity in anticipation of the FSA’s new rules. We’ve improved our liquidity profile this year by strengthening the balance of secured to unsecured lending, extending the maturity profile of our unsecured funding in wholesale, and increasing the size of our liquidity buffer.

As you know, retail and commercial banking and Barclays Wealth have no reliance on wholesale funding.

Barclays Capital has born its own additional funding costs caused by market dislocation. It manages its liquidity position to be self funding and to ensure that potential cash outflows in a stressed environment are covered. Barcap’s also increased the average term of its unsecured liabilities from 11 to 15 months during the first half.

Since January we’ve issued benchmark bonds, without government guarantees, totalling the equivalent of £10 billion, in a number of currencies including sterling, Euros and US dollars. Across the group, we’ve increased the balance of cash and liquid assets we hold to meet unexpected outflows.
from £36 billion at end of December
to £88 billion at the end of June.

Looking at future levels of market risk capital,
we believe regulatory decisions should make a distinction:
between capital required to support proprietary trading - which is not our focus,
and capital required to support the risk management and funding needs of
government and corporate clients - which is our focus.

You’ve already seen the prominence of low-capital-intensive, client-driven, flow business at Barclays Capital,
which has been boosted both by the Lehman acquisition
and by market share gains created by industry consolidation.

I’m now going to talk about our third core objective for 2009, which is maintaining strategic momentum.

Our strategy, as you know, is to increase the growth potential of Barclays by diversifying our businesses.

over 50% of the Group’s income was generated outside the UK in the first half
and it’s a strategic priority for us to grow that percentage in the future.

In GRCB, our goal is that the international profit contribution will, in time, equal that of the UK.

We’re seeking to ensure that profits in our African businesses, including Absa, will continue to grow through time.

We have ample opportunity to develop retail and commercial banking in Western Europe.

A good example would be via our new joint venture in life and pensions with CNP,
who chose Barclays as one of its preferred distribution partners across Spain, Portugal and Italy.

And in due course, we’ll be seeking to grow the Asian businesses of GRCB, which are still underweight.

That objective underpins the investments we’ve been making in India, Pakistan and Indonesia over the last two years.

These are high growth economies.
Turning to Investment Banking and Investment Management,

we’ve been developing our businesses in the geographies where we’ve been under-represented,

especially Asia and the United States.

In the US - which accounts for 40% of income in the global financial services industry – our business has been transformed by the Lehman acquisition.

And the strong platform we now have in the US

is allowing us to build global top tier businesses in Equities and M&A

by expanding across Europe and Asia.

If I then look at the shape of the Group by business line,

the relative contribution of investment banking will be skewed for a while as a result of:

the Lehman acquisition,

the sale of Barclays Global Investors,

and the impact of compressed liability margins and rising impairment on GRCB.

But as we’ve said before, our intention is that, over time,

and in circumstances where Barclays Capital continues to grow,

about two-thirds of the Group’s profits will come from GRCB and Barclays Wealth.

If I look at the sale of Barclays Global Investors,

we believe investment management will continue to grow

and through our equity holding in BlackRock Global Investors, we’ll maintain exposure to that growth,

avoiding related-party issues in an independent vehicle

which we see as the advantaged model of the future.

So in conclusion, our performance is underpinned by the objectives I’ve talked about this morning

staying close to our customers

managing our risks
and maintaining strategic momentum

Despite the events of the last 2 years we’ve been able to remain independent and profitable.

And while we’re realistic about the difficult environment,

we’re committed to delivering another year of solid profitability

through our continued focus on serving our customers and clients.

We’ve increased our capital ratios,

strengthened our balance sheet

and improved liquidity

in order to manage our risks and new regulatory requirements.

And we’re maintaining strategic momentum:

we’re a British company, with an increasingly international footprint and earnings base;

we’re a universal bank well diversified by geography and business

and it’s this strategy that’s helped us weather the crisis.

We want our shareholders, our customers and our employees to continue to benefit from that.

Thank you very much – I’m happy to take any questions.